Análisis

Effects of Cross-Border Engineer Exchange on Innovation: Firm-Level Evidence from Hanoi in Vietnam and Calabarzon in the Philippines¹

Tomohiro Machikita Truong Thi Chi Binh Yasushi Ueki

DOI: 10.32870/mycp.v1i1.384

Abstract

Just sing data from a survey to manufacturing firms, this paper attempts to detect sources of new technologies transferred to a well-established industrial district in Calabarzon, the Philippines, and a rapidly growing agglomeration in Hanoi, Vietnam. We find significant effects of exchange of engineers with customer or supplier on improvements in fundamental processes by firms in Hanoi. On the other hand, exchange

Artículo recibido el 31 de octubre de 2011 y dictaminado el 27 de febrero de 2012.

^{1.} This paper is one of the results of the research project entitled "Development of Regional Production and Logistics Networks in East Asia," which was organized by the Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia (ERIA) in fiscal year 2008. The dataset used in this paper was developed by the Institute of Developing Economies (IDE), the Philippine Institute for Development Studies (PIDS), National Statistical Office of the Philippines, and the Institute for Industry Policy and Strategy (IPSI). The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the organizations.

Tomohiro Machikita: Research Economist, Institute of Developing Economies (IDE-JETRO) and Visiting Researcher, Asia Research Centre, Copenhagen Business School, Denmark.

Truong Thi Chi Binh: Director, Supporting Industry Enterprise Development Center (SIEDC), for Industrial Policy and Strategy Institute, Ministry of Industry and Trade of Vietnam.

Yasushi Ueki: Research Fellow, Inter-disciplinary Studies Center, Institute of Developing Economies, Japan External Trade Organization (IDE-JETRO).

of engineers significantly affects improvements in production and quality control of products newly introduced by firms in Calabarzon. The difference in the effects of exchanging engineers between the two industrial districts indicates difference in the stages of industrial development.

Keywords: interaction among engineers, innovation, technology transfer.

Resumen

Utilizando los resultados de una encuesta aplicada a firmas manufactureras, en este artículo se busca identificar las fuentes de la transferencia tecnológica en un distrito industrial consolidado en Calabarzón, en Filipinas, y en una aglomeración de rápido crecimiento en Hanoi, Vietnam. Encontramos efectos significativos por medio del intercambio de ingenieros entre empresas clientes y proveedoras, en la mejora de procesos fundamentales en las firmas en Hanoi. Por otro lado, el intercambio de ingenieros afecta de manera significativa para lograr mejoras en la producción y en el control de calidad de productos nuevos introducidos por las firmas en Calabarzón. La diferencia de los efectos del intercambio de ingenieros entre los dos distritos industriales indica la diferencia en los grados relativos de desarrollo industrial.

Palabras clave: interacción entre ingenieros, innovación, transferencia tecnológica.

JEL Classification: O31, O32, R12.

Introduction

The objective of this paper is to find the sources of transfer of technology based on a survey at firm-level data in two manufacturing sectors of Hanoi in Vietnam and Calabarzon in the Philippines.² Detecting sources of productivity improvements have been a matter of concern for academics and policy makers. For example, Foster, Haltiwanger, and Krizan (2001) decompose the sources of aggregate productivity growth in the U. S. manufacturing into: (1) productivity gain within each plant, (2) change in output shares, and (3) entry and exit. The latter two effects explain 50 percent of the aggregate growth. For their part, Lentz and Mortensen (2008) show the selection effect accounts for

^{2.} Calabarzon is a region in the Philippines composed of the provinces of Cavite, Laguna, Batangas, Rizal, and Quezon.

53 percent of aggregate growth in Denmark.

However the literature has not yet accumulated knowledge on plant-level productivity gains driven by innovation. To do so, by using data developed from a survey to establishments in the manufacturing sector, this paper attempts to identify sources of knowledge exchangeable through production linkages to introduce new products or services or to enhance QCD performances; i. e. improve quality It can be assumed that exchange of knowledge through face-to-face communications among engineers is a key determinant of achieving product and process innovations because this is a direct channel of transferring tacit knowledge

control (Q), reduce costs (C) and reduce delivery time (D).

It can be assumed that exchange of knowledge through face-to-face communications among engineers is a key determinant of achieving product and process innovations because this is a direct channel of transferring tacit knowledge. In this analytical framework, vertically integrated firms through business transactions can exchange ideas by dispatching engineers to or accepting them from their business partners. To examine this hypothesis, indepth information on firm-specific transactions is indispensable. As applied by insider econometrics like Ichiniowski, et al. (1997), Hamilton, et al. (2003), and Mas and Moretti (2009), this paper developed an original questionnaire survey to manufacturing firms in Hanoi and Calabarzon. Comparative studies between the two industrial districts with different characteristics will enable to identify differences in sources of new technologies according to innovation types and absorptive capacity levels.

By applying probit estimations, this paper verifies significant effects of exchange of engineers by firms: (1) in Hanoi with customers in Vietnam and Japan and suppliers in Vietnam and China and their effect on QCD performances, and (2) in Calabarzon with customers in Japan and suppliers in China and their effect on introduction of new products and improvements in quality control for new products.

The structure of this paper is the following. Section II introduces hypothesis, the model and the data. Section III presents summary statistics. Empirical results are explained in Section IV. Knowledge integration in Asia through economic integration is discussed in Section V. Section VI provides conclusion.

Empirical Strategy

Hypothesis

Factors that can motivate firms to make efforts for improving management and achieve innovation have been major concerns for researchers and policy makers. For instance, Truong (2010), based on her in-depth case studies of firms in Vietnam, emphasize customers' requests are one of the important motivations for Vietnamese firms to innovate.

To illustrate how customer-supplier relationships promote innovations, relationships between a firm X and its customer C_x and between the firm X and its supplier S_x are considered. As depict in Figure 1, the firm C_x has concerns about QCD performances of the firm X, from which the firm C_x purchases parts and materials, because QCD performances of the firm X could affect QCD performances of C_x . Therefore the firm C_x will require the firm X to satisfy QCD standards specified by the firm C_x , giving the firm X technical assistance or cooperating with it to achieve QCD standards of the firm C_x . The firm C_x stimulating competition with potential suppliers.

Faced with requirements regarding QCD from its customer C_x , the firm X on the other hand needs to make use of its internal resources to fulfill them. If the firm X does not have sufficient resources, the firm needs to seek for external resources that can be available through collaboration with its supplier S_x to satisfy requests from its customer C_x . Thus, the relationship with supplier should be an important factor that can determine resources available for the firm X to achieve targeted upgrading or incremental innovations that are set according its customer's requirements (Figure 1).

In the same way of thinking, when the firm X introduces a new product to satisfy demands from its customer C_x , this firm will need to introduce knowledge and technologies indispensable to develop it or to improve processes for manufacturing in cooperation with its customer C_y or supplier S_y .

It can be postulated that the resulting geographical distribution of such business partners of firm X can be dependent on the following three factors. First is the availability of resources within firm X or the place where firm X lo-



Source: authors.

cates. Firms without sufficient internal resources for innovation will cooperate with external partners and introduce knowledge and technologies necessary for satisfying requirements from their customers or achieving innovations (Machikita and Ueki, 2010c). The geographical distribution is also affected by the availability of local resources.

Second is so called transaction cost. Exchange of knowledge is costly. Especially when knowledge is not "encoded" (Polanyi, 1966, 1967) to be exchanged via information technologies, firms necessitate face-to-face communication. Machikita and Ueki (2010d) verify significant effects of face-to-face communications on process innovations. To exchange such tacit technical knowledge, especially if it is of the complex type, face-to-face communication among engineers can be the most efficient way. When transportation costs are high for engineers to move, firms will prefer local inter-firm knowledge transfer. Firms also can take advantage of inter-regional knowledge transfer when transportation costs are so low that engineers can visit their counterparts frequently.

Third is the capability of firms necessary for overcoming transaction costs and benefiting from external resources. Various transaction costs, including costs for knowledge exchange, affect the way in which businesses select partners and cooperate with customers or suppliers. Previous studies have focused on geographical proximities to consider such effects of transaction costs. Kimura (2009) proposes the concept of four layers of transactions in production and distribution networks stratified in terms of gate-to-gate lead time and the frequency of delivery. Machikita and Ueki (2010a) verify that firm-level capabilities and transaction costs associated with specific inter-firm relationships would influence the distance between customers and suppliers, using firm-level data. Machikita and Ueki (2010b) also empirically examine the difference between importers and non-importers in the effects of geographic proximity on the procurement process.

It is also assumed that firm-level capability will have a considerable influence on performances of innovative activities by firms. As discussed by Cohen and Levinthal (1990), the ability of a firm to recognize the value of external information and apply it to commercial purposes is crucial to its innovation performance. These imply that firms in Hanoi and Calabarzon will achieve different innovations by accessing same sources of knowledge. These two groups of firms that will have different absorptive capacity will also seek for different sources of knowledge appropriate to achieve different innovations. Challenges faced by firms and their managerial capabilities will determine the content of knowledge to be exchanged through face-to-face communication and the location of their more suitable partners. Firms in countries with weak supporting industries like Vietnam will need international technology transfers to obtain fundamental capabilities of QCD to enter into international production networks governed by MNCs. In contract, firms in relatively developed industrial districts like the ones in the Philippines will be able to exchange knowledge with firms within the same agglomeration area or combine the local knowledge with technologies transferred from developed countries such as Japan and the United States to develop new products, shorten life cycles of their products or introduce them without technical problems from the beginning of their commercial production (Saxenian, 1996, 2006).

The Model

Based on these hypotheses, this paper attempts a comparative study between firms in Hanoi, Vietnam and those in Calabarzon, in the Philippines where firms with different absorptive capabilities will locate. To empirically detect sources of information for process and product innovations and their influences on innovations, this paper explores the use of the following binary probit estimation in modeling the relation between innovations and exchanges of engineers with suppliers or customers that firms in Hanoi and Calabarzon have transactions with.

Probit(*INNOV*_{ik}) = $\alpha + \beta EX_i + \gamma x_i + u_i$.

The dependent variable *INNOV* is an indicator for process or product innovation (k). The variables for process innovations are coded 1 if the firm (i) adopted international standards between 2006 and 2008 or improved QCD performances between 2007 and 2008, otherwise 0. In the estimation, five variables are identified as indicators of process innovation: (1) Adoption of international standards (*STAN*); (2) Product quality improved (Q); (3) The number of product defects was reduced (PD); (4) Production cost decreased (C); and (5) Lead-time (the period between a customer's order and delivery of product) was reduced (D). In addition, the dummy variable QC is defined as the case of improvement of quality control and cost reduction at the same time. In the same manner, the dummy variable QCD is coded 1 if the firm (i) improved Q, C and D at once.

Among the dependent variable *INNOV* includes indicators for product innovations achieved by firms in Calabarzon, which are coded 1 if the firm (*i*) introduced (1) a new product or service between 2006 and 2008 (*PROD*), (2) one into new market (*MARKET*), or (3) one based on new technologies (*TECH*). In addition, the dummy variables *Q_PROD* (*PD_PROD*) are defined to consider the cases that the firms achieved *Q* (PD) and *PROD* simultaneously. In the same manner, *Q_MARKET* (*PD_MARKET*) and *Q_TECH* (*PD_TECH*) are defined.

The independent variables are *EX* and other control variables. The variable *EX* is a dummy variable taking 1 if the firm (*i*) exchanges engineer with its main supplier or customer. The variables represented by x_i are other control variables such as R&D, asset size, nationality and industry. The variable *ASSET* represents the size of the assets that belong to the firm. The firms responding to the survey were asked to indicate the value of their total assets by choosing one of the 10 categories.³ The variable *ASSET* is defined as the median value of each category. For example, if the respondent chose "10,000-24,999 U. S. dollars," this *ASSET* is taken as 17,500 U. S. dollars.

The variable *R*&*D* is a dummy coded 1 if the firm (*i*) carries out R&D. This variable is introduced into the regression of product innovation, supposing

 ⁽¹⁾ less than 10,000 U. S. dollars; (2) 10,000-24,999 U. S. dollars; (3) 25,000-49,999 U. S. dollars; (4) 50,000-74,999 U. S. dollars; (5) 75,000-99,999 U. S. dollars; (6) 100,000-499,999 U. S. dollars; (7) 500,000-999,999 U. S. dollars; (8) 1 million-4.9 million U. S. dollars; (9) 5-9.9 million U. S. dollars; (10) 10 million U. S. dollars and above.

that R&D is relevant to develop new products and processes and that QCD performance are more dependent on daily group efforts for improvements.

The variable *LOCAL* is a dummy variable that is coded 1 if the firm (*i*) is indigenous (100% local capital). There are five industry dummy variables: *LIGHT; CHEMICAL; METAL; ELECTRONICS*; and *MACHINE*. The variable *LIGHT* includes food and textiles industries. *METAL* includes industries of iron, steel and metal products. The variable *CHEMICAL* corresponds to chemicals and chemical products. Manufacturing of electronics or electronic components other than computers and computer parts are categorized as *ELECTRONICS* while the other machinery products are aggregated into the variable *MACHINE*.

Details of the dependent variables *INNOV*, independent variables *EX* and other control variables are listed in Appendix Table A1.

The Data

The dataset used in this paper was created from the ERIA 2008 Survey on Production and Logistics Networks (SPLN) for manufacturing firms in two industrial districts in Asia: Hanoi and surrounding areas in Vietnam; and Calabarzon in the Philippines. The sample population is restricted to selected manufacturing districts. The survey was developed to collect firm-level data on production and logistics networks, with the aim of pinpointing sources of knowledge transfer facilitated by economic integration in Asia. An original questionnaire was designed solely for the survey by reference to the Oslo Manual developed by the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). The questionnaire was distributed in December 2008 and January 2009. A total of 138 firms in Hanoi and 204 firms in Calabarzon agreed to participate in the survey.⁴

Table 1 presents characteristics of the firms that responded to the survey. By nationality of the firms, 40.6% of the respondents in Vietnam are local. This percentage for Calabarzon is 50.5%, which is significantly higher than Hanoi.

The average asset size, or the mean of the variable *ASSET*, is about 5.6 million U. S. dollars for Vietnam, suggesting that the respondents in Hanoi are not small firms in terms of asset size according to Vietnam's definition of

The same questionnaire survey was conducted in four Southeast Asian countries including Jabodetabek (i. e., Jakarta, Bogor, Depok, Tangerang, and Bekasi), Indonesia, and Greater Bangkok area, Thailand (Limskul, 2009).

SME.⁵ In addition, the average size of the firms in Hanoi is larger than those in Calabarzon.

	Who	Whole Sample		Hanoi	Са	Difference	
Variable	Obs.	Mean	Obs.	Mean(vn)	Obs.	Mean(ph)	(t-test)
R&D	342	0.193	138	0.116	204	0.245	
Asset	332	4,311,687	129	5,550,252	203	3,524,618	+++
Local	342	0.465	138	0.406	204	0.505	
Light	342	0.269	138	0.101	204	0.382	
Chemical	342	0.114	138	0.130	204	0.103	
Metal	342	0.117	138	0.138	204	0.103	
Electronics	342	0.146	138	0.145	204	0.147	
Machine	342	0.155	138	0.217	204	0.113	+++

Table 1 Characteristics of Firms in Hanoi and Calabarzon

Note: +++, ++, + indicate the null hypothesis H_0 : Mean(VN) = Mean(PH) tested against the alternative hypothesis H_a : Mean(VN) > Mean(PH) is significant at the 1%, 5% and 10% level respectively. ---, -- and - indicate the null hypothesis H_0 tested against the alternative hypothesis H_a : Mean(VN) < Mean(PH) is significant at the 1%, 5% and 10% level respectively.

Source: ERIA 2008 SPLN.

There are not statistically significant differences in main business activities between firms in Hanoi and Calabarzon, except light and machinery industries. More firms in Calabarzon are engaged in manufacturing light products including food and textiles (38.2%), while firms in Hanoi (21.7%) produce mainly machineries other than electronics. Even though firms in Calabarzon hold less assets and are dedicated to light industries, they perform more R&D activities than their counterparts in Hanoi. This may reflect differences in innovation capacities between the two industrial districts.

Government Decree 90/2001/ND-CP, dated 23 November 2001, defines SMEs as independent production and business establishments, with registered capital not exceeding VND 10 billion or annual labor not exceeding 300 people (http://www.business.gov.vn/asmed. aspx?id=49&LangType=1033, accessed on 11 August 2010).

Production Linkages and Innovation in Hanoi and Calabarzon

Agglomeration in Hanoi and Calabarzon

Agglomeration in Hanoi is a recent phenomenon. Formation of the industrial district in the area was accelerated by Japan's official development assistance (ODA) for renovating transportation infrastructure in the 1990s such as Hai Phong Port and National Highway No.5 which connects Hanoi and Hai Phong. Such physical infrastructure is a statistically significant factor that affected decisions made by firms on investments into this region (Truong, 2008). Additionally, it is said that foreign direct investment (FDI) made by large MNCs, especially Canon's new plant for assembling printers in the Thang Long Industrial Park, generated a virtuous circle of investment, especially by Japanese MNCs (Kuchiki and Tsuji, 2008).

Reflecting this historical progress of industrial development in Hanoi, the respondents to the survey are relatively new. Some 72.0% of the respondents (95 of 132 firms) were established in 2004 or later. This percentage is much higher for MNCs/JVs (82.5% or 66 of 80). Even more than half of the indigenous respondents (55.8% or 29 of 52) started operations in this period. Figure 2 indicates this rapid agglomeration of the manufacturing sector in Hanoi, making comparison with more sluggish industrial district in Calabarzon, where half of the respondents had already been established in or before 1995.

Linkages with Main Customer and Supplier

As shown in Figure 2, industrial development in Hanoi is at an early stage, compared to Calabarzon. There are not well-established supporting industries in Hanoi. But Hanoi is situated more than 1,700km away from Ho Chi Minh City where are the largest industrial district in Vietnam. In addition, nationwide expressway networks in Vietnam are in the planning stage or under construction. Thus, firms that established their assembly processes in Hanoi are forced to need to purchase raw materials and parts from neighboring countries, especially at the beginning of factory operation. As a result, imports of intermediate goods from Guangdong or Hong Kong through Hai Phong Port have been increasing recently (Ueki, 2010).

Table 2 presents such situation. Some 42.9% of the firms in Hanoi have their main suppliers in China, while suppliers in Vietnam and Japan are im-



Effects of Cross-Border Engineer Exchange on Innovation

Figure 2

Year of Establishment (Cumulative Total)

Source: ERIA 2008 SPLN.

portant for about 20% of them respectively. On the other hand, their main customers are concentrated in Vietnam and Japan. Contrary to Hanoi, firms in Calabarzon are linked to customers and suppliers in the Philippines. Some 44.0% and 55.7% of the respondents in the region have their main supplier and customer in this country.

An additional characteristic in the production networks of firms in Calabarzon have closer linkages with customers in developed countries such as European Union and the United States. On the other hand, firms in Hanoi have more business transactions with customers in Japan and are also more dependent on suppliers and customers in China than those in Calabarzon.

Exchange of Engineer with Main Customer and Supplier

This paper emphasizes exchanges of engineer as a potential channel of transferring technologies and information. The survey asked respondents if they

	Whole	Sample	1	Hanoi	Calabarzon		Difference
Variable	Obs.	Mean	Obs.	Mean(VN)	Obs.	Mean(PH)	(t-test)
<main customer=""></main>							
LOCAL_c	283	0.527	98	0.469	185	0.557	-
JP_c	283	0.205	98	0.337	185	0.135	+++
CN_c	283	0.032	98	0.071	185	0.011	+++
EASEA_c	283	0.049	98	0.051	185	0.049	
EUUS_c	283	0.166	98	0.061	185	0.222	
<main supplier=""></main>							
LOCAL_s	259	0.355	91	0.198	168	0.440	
JP_s	259	0.170	91	0.198	168	0.155	
CN_s	259	0.236	91	0.429	168	0.131	+++
EASEA_s	259	0.151	91	0.121	168	0.167	
EUUS_s	259	0.069	91	0.044	168	0.083	

Table 2 Locations of Main Customer and Supplier

Note: The same as in Table 1. Source: ERIA 2008 SPLN.

Source. EXIA 2000 SFEN.

dispatch their engineers to their main supplier (customer) or accept engineers from their supplier (customer). Exchange of engineers is defined if the firms accept or dispatch engineers.

Table 3 summarizes the implementation of exchanging engineers with customer or supplier by its location. Firms in Hanoi have more experiences of doing it, especially with their main customer in Asia, than those in Calabarzon.

Regarding customers of the firms surveyed, both in Hanoi and Calabarzon, domestic firms are the main partners: 32.7% for Hanoi and 22.7% for Calabarzon and they do exchange engineers. Domestic customers are followed by Japanese customers. About one third of the firms in Hanoi exchange engineers with customers in Japan, and 10.8% do so in Calabarzon. In sum, geographical distribution of the customers is an important element in determining the exchange of engineers.

On the other hand, firms do not seek technical information from their main domestic suppliers. Their face-to-face relationships with engineers of their main domestic suppliers do not necessarily reflect transactions of goods with them. Only about 8% of the firms in Hanoi and Calabarzon exchange engineers with domestic suppliers even though 19.8% of those in Hanoi and

44.0% of those in Calabarzon recognize domestic suppliers as main sources of inputs.

	Whole Sample Hano		Hanoi	Cal	Difference		
Variable	Obs.	Mean	Obs.	Mean(vn)	Obs.	Mean(ph)	(t-test)
<main customer=""></main>							
EX_LOCAL_c	283	0.261	98	0.327	185	0.227	++
EX_JP_c	283	0.180	98	0.316	185	0.108	+++
EX_CN_c	283	0.021	98	0.061	185	0	+++
EX_EASEA_c	283	0.028	98	0.051	185	0.016	++
EX_EUUS_c	283	0.064	98	0.061	185	0.065	
<main supplier=""></main>							
EX_LOCAL_s	259	0.085	91	0.088	168	0.083	
EX_JP_s	259	0.139	91	0.176	168	0.119	
EX_CN_s	259	0.120	91	0.275	168	0.036	+++
EX_EASEA_s	259	0.069	91	0.077	168	0.065	
EX_EUUS_s	259	0.039	91	0.033	168	0.042	

Table 3Exchange of Engineer with Main Customer and Supplier

Note: The same as in Table 1.

Source: ERIA 2008 SPLN.

However, regarding foreign suppliers, 27.5% of the respondents in Hanoi considered that suppliers in China are important source of information and technology through face-to-face communications. For those in Calabarzon, suppliers in Japan are main partners: 11.9% of them do exchange information through exchange of engineers. Suppliers in Japan are also the second most important source of knowledge through the exchange of engineers in Hanoi. From this survey information, we can infer that firms in Hanoi and Calabarzon have close interactions with their suppliers and customers in Japan.

Innovations

Firms can take advantage of technical information acquired through faceto-face interactions with their customer and supplier to achieve efficiently innovations in processes and products. Table 4 shows performances of innovation by the respondents. The firms in Hanoi improved processes more frequently than the firms in Calabarzon, while the latter achieved better results of introducing a new product, or product innovations, than the former. Exceptionally among the types of process improvements, the higher percentages of the firms in Calabarzon improved quality control than those in Hanoi. There is not a statistically significant difference in the probability of reducing product defects between these firms in the two industrial areas.

	Whole	Whole Sample Hanoi		Са	labarzon	Difference	
Variable	Obs.	Mean	Obs.	Mean(VN)	Obs.	Mean(PH)	(t-test)
<process innovation=""></process>							
STAN	342	0.556	138	0.659	204	0.485	+++
Q	342	0.751	138	0.659	204	0.814	
PD	342	0.731	138	0.717	204	0.740	
С	342	0.491	138	0.739	204	0.324	+++
D	342	0.602	138	0.710	204	0.529	+++
QC	342	0.427	138	0.616	204	0.299	+++
QCD	342	0.365	138	0.558	204	0.235	+++
<product innovation=""></product>							
PROD	342	0.421	138	0.283	204	0.515	
MARKET	342	0.073	138	0.036	204	0.098	
TECH	342	0.120	138	0.080	204	0.147	
<product innovation="" pr<="" td="" x=""><td>ocess In</td><td>novation</td><td>></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></product>	ocess In	novation	>				
Q_PROD	342	0.348	138	0.210	204	0.441	
PD_PROD	342	0.345	138	0.239	204	0.417	
Q_MARKET	342	0.070	138	0.036	204	0.093	
PD_MARKET	342	0.064	138	0.036	204	0.083	
Q_TECH	342	0.102	138	0.051	204	0.137	
PD_TECH	342	0.105	138	0.072	204	0.127	

Table 4Process and Product Innovations

Note: The same as in Table 1.

Source: ERIA 2008 SPLN.

Such differences may reflect differences in developmental stages of Hanoi and Calabarzon and comparative advantages, or in business challenges that firms are faced with. The firms in Hanoi are relatively so new that they need to enhance fundamental capabilities of process controls that are essential to participate in international production networks, keeping their comparative advantage in terms of labor costs. Therefore, more firms in Hanoi make efforts to adopt international standards, reduce production costs, reduce time for delivery and achieve improve Q, C, and D at one time.

The firms in Calabarzon more experienced in the international market have basic managerial capabilities to meet international standards for QCD. But they have lost comparative advantages in terms of costs against China and other emerging economies in Southeast Asia including Vietnam. They need to enhance their strengths in quality and production control, fostering R&D capabilities. Therefore, they shorten product cycles and the period necessary for starting commercial production of new products, lowering product defect rates or improving qualities. As a result, more firms in Calabarzon introduce new products and improve quality control (*Q_PROD*) or reduce product defects (*PD_PROD*) simultaneously.

Empirical Result

In this section, we report the regression results regarding innovation in processes as a result of exchanges of engineers. Then based on the summary statistics shown above, the regressions for Calabarzon show the effects of inter-firm face-to-face communications with domestic customers and suppliers as well as with foreign customers and suppliers in Japan and suppliers in China. As product innovations by firms in Hanoi are not explained by the model, the corresponding results are not reported in this paper.

Process Innovations by Firms in Hanoi

Table 5 presents correlations between innovations in processes by firms in Hanoi and their exchange of engineers with customers in Japan and Vietnam and suppliers in Vietnam, Japan, and China. 6

^{6.} The same model is also applied to process innovations by firms in Calabarzon (Table A2). Only the coefficient on exchange of engineer with domestic supplier is positively significant at the 5% level, supporting a common perception about importance of geographical proximity to suppliers to make delivery management efficient.

As shown in the column (1) of Table 5, the coefficient on exchange of engineers with suppliers in China is positive and statistically significant at the 1% level, indicating that engineers working for the firms in Hanoi adopt international standards in technical cooperation with engineers from their main suppliers in China.

Exchanges of engineer with customers in Vietnam and Japan also encourage firms in Hanoi to improve quality control. The coefficient on exchange of engineers with customers in Vietnam is positively significant at the 1% level. Exchange of engineers with customers in Japan also has a positive effect on improvement in quality control at the 5% level of significance (column (2)). Japanese customers are the most quality-conscious in the world. They dispatch their engineers to their business partners or accept engineers from their partners periodically, irregularly or in each problematic case.

Cooperation with customers in Japan also helps firms in Hanoi decrease product defects. The coefficient on exchange of engineer with customer in Japan is positively significant at the 10% level (column (3)).

The column (4) of Table 5 also presents positive and significant effects on cost reduction of exchange of engineers with customers in Japan at the 10% level and that with suppliers in Vietnam at the 5% level. This result indicates that firms in Japanese can benefit from cheap labor forces in Vietnam when firms in Hanoi collaborate through face-to-face communication with customers in Japan.

Firms improving quality control and reducing costs simultaneously (QC) take full advantage of knowledge exchanges with their customers and suppliers in Vietnam, and customers in Japan, which have positively significant effects at the 5%, 1% and 10% levels respectively as shown in the columns (6) of Table 5.

The estimation result for QCD in the column (7) that is similar to that for QC, in particular the positively significant coefficient on suppliers in China at the 10% level, indicates the importance of geographical proximity to sources of inputs and trade facilitation for improving lead-time or delivery.

These estimation results show the factors that lie behind the location choice made by firms using Hanoi as an assembling base. Firms can use various sources of inputs from local sources and China to ship products to markets in Asia including Vietnam and Japan. This enables firms to optimize procurements of raw materials and parts and decrease labor costs by using Vietnamese workers. In addition, closer relationships involving exchange of

Table 5 Effects of Exchanging Engineer with Main Customer and upplier on Process Innovations by Firms in Hanoi

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
	STAN	Q	PD	С	D	QC	QCD
<ex></ex>							
EX_LOCAL_c	0.626	1.564***	0.567	0.108	0.005	0.973**	0.874*
	(0.474)	(0.557)	(0.473)	(0.428)	(0.457)	(0.494)	(0.530)
EX _JP_c	0.800	1.223**	0.834*	0.893*	0.830	1.054*	1.404**
	(0.588)	(0.599)	(0.502)	(0.541)	(0.525)	(0.554)	(0.604)
EX_LOCAL_s	1.337			1.390**		3.056***	3.531***
	(0.833)			(0.657)		(0.779)	(0.799)
EX _JP_s	0.234	-0.607	-0.205	-0.213	-0.008	-0.224	-0.295
	(0.726)	(0.727)	(0.626)	(0.631)	(0.644)	(0.668)	(0.718)
EX_CN_s	2.254***	0.262	0.073	0.270	0.504	0.398	0.859*
	(0.660)	(0.525)	(0.435)	(0.415)	(0.432)	(0.498)	(0.522)
<control td="" varia<=""><td>bles></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></control>	bles>						
ASSET	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***	0.000**	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
LOCAL	-0.322	-0.836	-0.181	0.189	0.132	-0.481	-0.850
	(0.607)	(0.561)	(0.488)	(0.467)	(0.516)	(0.543)	(0.598)
LIGHT	-1.132	-1.909**	-1.185*	-1.530***	-1.391**	-1.902***	-1.984**
	(0.839)	(0.758)	(0.673)	(0.573)	(0.619)	(0.706)	(0.774)
CHEMICAL	-1.394	-0.430	-0.123	-0.312	-0.251	-0.211	-0.681
	(1.018)	(0.757)	(0.668)	(0.666)	(0.696)	(0.719)	(0.828)
METAL	-1.170	-0.426	-0.363	-0.245	-1.153**	-0.162	-1.302*
	(0.776)	(0.697)	(0.555)	(0.569)	(0.552)	(0.660)	(0.712)
ELECTRONICS	-1.008	-1.674***	-1.108**	-0.623	-0.725	-1.314**	-1.436**
	(0.703)	(0.564)	(0.471)	(0.459)	(0.513)	(0.519)	(0.571)
MACHINE	0.344	-0.742	-0.121	-0.122	0.216	-0.674	-0.308
	(0.654)	(0.541)	(0.502)	(0.499)	(0.547)	(0.502)	(0.605)
Constant	-1.217*	-0.962	-0.368	-0.118	-0.502	-1.066	-1.213
	(0.728)	(0.702)	(0.532)	(0.528)	(0.599)	(0.692)	(0.744)
Observations	87	80	80	87	80	87	87
Pseudo R2	0.444	0.421	0.235	0.221	0.274	0.411	0.474
	_						

Note: *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1. Robust standard errors are in parentheses. Note: Variable EX_LOCAL_s is dropped when it predicts dependent variable perfectly. Source: authors.

engineers with diversified suppliers or customers in these countries make it possible to incrementally improve Q, C, and D at the same time and competitiveness in the international market.

Product Innovations by Firms in Calabarzon

Table 6 shows estimated effects of exchanging engineers on product innovations implemented by the firms in Calabarzon. There are no positively significant effects on introduction of new products (*PROD*) as shown in the column (8).

When the new products are limited to those introduced into new markets for the respondents (*MARKET*), exchange of engineers with suppliers in China is positively correlated at the 1% level of significance (column (9)). The firms that introduced new products into new markets and improved quality control (*Q_MARKET*) or reduced product defects (*PD_MARKET*) simultaneously have also closer face-to-face contacts with suppliers in China, as shown by the positive coefficients on this variable at the 1% levels of significance (columns (13) and (14)).

An additional finding from control variables is the non-significant effects of R&D on the introduction of new products into new markets. This indicates firms in developing countries can introduce new products without expensive R&D investments, combining new ideas and existing technologies in cooperation with engineers from their suppliers.

In the case of new products based on new technologies (*TECH*), the firms that introduced such new products have closer contacts with customers in Japan (column (10)). Positively significant coefficients on customers in Japan are estimated when the firms in Calabarzon improve quality control (Q_TECH) or decrease product defects (PD_TECH), introducing new technology-based products (columns (15) and (16)). Therefore, firms in Calabarzon can benefit from their collaboration with customers in Japan that motivate firms in the Philippines to be innovative or that provide technical support to introduce new products. Customers in Japan also seek firms in Calabarzon to launch new products keeping quality controls and/or minimizing product defects.

Firms in China are also important collaborators for firms in Calabarzon when the latter look for new products based on new technologies (columns (10) and (15)). This indicates that firms in the Philippines can benefit from intensive exchange of information with suppliers in China to go into timely

Table 6

Effects of Exchanging Engineer with Main Customer and Supplier on Product Innovations by Firms in Calabarzon

	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)
	PROD	MARKET	TECH	Q_PROD	PD_	Q_	PD_	Q_TECH	PD_TECH
					PROD	MARKET	MARKET		
<ex></ex>									
EX_LOCAL_c	-0.404	-1.700^{***}	-0.408	-0.653**	-0.380	-1.714***	-1.222**	-0.813	-0.594
	(0.315)	(0.628)	(0.470)	(0.314)	(0.319)	(0.611)	(0.503)	(0.561)	(0.527)
EX _JP_c	0.400	1.143	1.252**	0.285	0.560	1.223	1.134	1.253**	1.245**
	(0.442)	(0.858)	(0.562)	(0.468)	(0.454)	(0.887)	(0.870)	(0.574)	(0.568)
EX _LOCAL_s	0.228	1.194	0.388	0.300	0.256	1.229*	0.830	0.671	0.586
	(0.514)	(0.739)	(0.582)	(0.481)	(0.466)	(0.725)	(0.684)	(0.645)	(0.633)
EX _JP_s	0.418	0.234	-0.481	-0.054	0.164	-0.213	-0.147	-0.435	-0.382
	(0.456)	(0.868)	(0.546)	(0.486)	(0.474)	(0.889)	(0.891)	(0.560)	(0.555)
EX _CN_s	0.575	2.721***	1.056^{*}	0.788	0.274	2.753***	1.802***	1.283**	0.599
	(0.538)	(0.692)	(0.595)	(0.556)	(0.570)	(0.675)	(0.699)	(0.621)	(0.721)
<control td="" variab<=""><td>oles></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></control>	oles>								
R&D	1.099***	0.327	0.637**	0.820***	0.621**	0.358	0.251	0.659**	0.653**
	(0.286)	(0.400)	(0.302)	(0.267)	(0.268)	(0.402)	(0.411)	(0.306)	(0.326)
ASSET	0.000**	0.000***	-0.000	0.000**	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***	-0.000	-0.000
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
LOCAL	0.142	2.039***	0.210	0.006	0.219	2.045***	2.136***	0.071	0.359
	(0.253)	(0.564)	(0.357)	(0.253)	(0.252)	(0.564)	(0.570)	(0.350)	(0.353)
LIGHT	-0.001	-0.652	-0.430	-0.226	-0.155	-0.637	-0.778	-0.419	-0.785*
	(0.344)	(0.618)	(0.429)	(0.341)	(0.335)	(0.619)	(0.600)	(0.424)	(0.418)
CHEMICAL	0.081	-0.519	0.199	0.087	-0.093	-0.333	-0.427	0.263	0.118
	(0.433)	(0.662)	(0.488)	(0.428)	(0.428)	(0.659)	(0.633)	(0.490)	(0.475)
METAL	-0.359	-0.135	-0.239	-0.470	-0.560	-0.080	-0.409	-0.199	-0.643
	(0.453)	(0.680)	(0.482)	(0.446)	(0.442)	(0.667)	(0.729)	(0.494)	(0.582)
ELECTRONICS	0.507	0.402	0.776^{*}	0.048	0.219	0.362	0.301	0.614	0.544
	(0.412)	(0.616)	(0.435)	(0.399)	(0.388)	(0.619)	(0.592)	(0.434)	(0.430)
MACHINE	0.892^{*}		-0.585	1.057**	0.741			-0.655	-0.680
	(0.492)		(0.579)	(0.497)	(0.500)			(0.584)	(0.577)
Constant	-0.699**	-3.351***	-1.432***	-0.531	-0.766**	-3.364***	-3.348***	-1.322***	-1.464***
	(0.330)	(0.600)	(0.398)	(0.326)	(0.319)	(0.611)	(0.607)	(0.385)	(0.384)
Observations	157	140	157	157	157	140	140	157	157
Pseudo R2	0.233	0.358	0.189	0.200	0.183	0.336	0.296	0.201	0.221
	0.200	0.000	0.100	5.200	0.100	0.000	0.200	0.201	0.221

Note: *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1. Robust standard errors are in parentheses. Note: Variable MACHINE is dropped when it predicts dependent variable perfectly. Source: authors.

commercial production of new products. This also implies that suppliers in China are enhancing their capabilities of development and can provide parts, components or equipment necessary for new products launched in the Philippines. As it is said, the manufacturing sector in China is fostering research and development, receiving support from the government and hosting foreign direct investment in design centers.

Contrary to the foreign sources of knowledge, roles of domestic customers and suppliers in product innovations are limited. Only the coefficient of 1.229 on the effect of exchanging engineers with domestic suppliers is significant at the 10% level (column (13)).in of Table 6. This result suggests that the firms in Calabarzon who exchange engineers with their domestic suppliers are more likely to introduce new products into new markets and improve quality control simultaneously.

Integration of Local Innovation Systems into Asian Innovation System

The empirical results in the previous section indicate that firms in Southeast Asia can make use of multi-sources of knowledge and technologies available in Southeast and East Asia to improve innovations in processes and products with certainty and at a lower cost. In other words, they take advantage of agglomeration effects, production networks, and the gaps in industrial development and innovation capabilities in Southeast and East Asia.

To absorb essential know-how on improving QCD performances, firms in less developed countries like Cambodia, Lao PDR, and Vietnam can receive technical assistance from firms in the same industrial districts or neighboring countries. In the past, Japanese FDI has been a main source of technology transfer. Agglomeration triggered by FDI has established local cooperation between MNCs and local firms in addition to intrinsic cooperation among local firms. FDI also have linked innovation systems in home countries with those in host countries to facilitate technology transfers. Moreover, recently intra-regional FDI within ASEAN or from China to ASEAN is increasing. Such South-South FDI is becoming an important source of technologies for less developed countries.

There is evidence on South-South technology transfer. So called "China plus One" strategy introduced by Japanese firms in China is an example. A Japanese firm in Guangdong, China, producing domestic sewing machines established a factory in Hanoi to transfer a production line from China. When the firm started its factory operation in Hanoi, it dispatched Chinese engineers to Hanoi and trained Vietnamese blue-collar workers in Guangdong. By using international bus services for moving them, the firm could transfer necessary technologies and associated tacit knowledge at a lower cost through face-to-face communications (Ueki, 2010; Machikita *et al.*, 2010). In case of a Japanese motorcycle manufacturer in Thailand, they provide technical supports from Thailand to Lao PDR and other surrounding nations, dispatching Thai engineers and managers (Intarakumnerd and Fujita, 2008).

The empirical analyses in this paper verified such South-South cooperation when firms in Calabarzon introduce new products into new markets, which necessitate less advanced technologies. In addition, the estimation results verified that when firms in Calabarzon adopt new technologies for introducing new products, North-South technical cooperation are still demanded; they still need technology transfer from advanced countries like Japan.

Facilitation of engineer mobility through infrastructure development and economic integration promote intra-regional technology transfer within Southeast and East Asia. Initiatives for Asian economic integration will enable firms to move up technological ladders by combining more diversified knowledge accumulated in local innovation systems in Asia (Figure 3).



Figure 3 Technology Ladder and Economic Integration

Source: authors.

This empirical study focuses only on the Philippines and Vietnam. But the importance of China as a source of parts and components has increased for main industrializing countries in ASEAN. In the Asian innovation system, firms in the coastal area of China can play a significant role in technology transfer necessary to combine new ideas and existing technologies. On the other hand, Japan is still one of the main sources for several ASEAN countries. Therefore, Japan still plays a leading role in diffusing technologies to ASEAN.

		Value (US	\$ million)	Growth	Share (%)		
Importer	Origin	2000	2008	2008/2000	2000	2008	
Cambodia	China	2.7	51.7	19.3	6.6	29.8	
	Japan	4.0	11.8	3.0	9.9	6.8	
	World	40.4	173.6	4.3	100	100	
Indonesia	China	143.0	2,547.4	17.8	3.1	12.1	
	Japan	2,080.4	5,558.7	2.7	45.2	26.4	
	World	4,607.1	21,043.8	4.6	100	100	
Malaysia	China	961.7	6,664.8	6.9	2.4	14.5	
	Japan	8,436.5	6,016.6	0.7	21.3	13.1	
	World	39,536.8	46,000.0	1.2	100	100	
Philippines	China	145.5	1,567.1	10.8	0.9	6.9	
	Japan	4,264.6	4,144.9	1.0	25.0	18.4	
	World	17,058.2	22,580.2	1.3	100	100	
Thailand	China	928.4	4,532.4	4.9	4.8	12.8	
	Japan	6,194.9	11,315.3	1.8	32.1	31.9	
	World	19,268.7	35,421.0	1.8	100	100	
Vietnam	China	64.0	1,383.6	21.6	3.6	17.9	
	Japan	808.3	1,895.7	2.3	46.1	24.5	
	World	1,753.8	7,732.7	4.4	100	100	

Table 7Imports of Parts and Components from Chinaand Japan in 2000 and 2008

Note: Parts and Components include UN BEC codes 42 and 53. Source: UN Comtrade.

Conclusion

This paper attempts to detect sources of new technologies transferred to Southeast Asia, using firm-level data from a survey to manufacturing firms. Face-to-face communications among engineers are emphasized as a potential channel of technology and knowledge transfer. This paper also verify differences in sources of new technologies according to innovation types and absorptive capacity levels, by comparing two industrial districts in different development stages; one is a well-established industrial district in Calabarzon, Philippines and the other is a rapid growing agglomeration in Hanoi, Vietnam.

We find significant effects of exchange of engineers with customers in Vietnam and Japan and suppliers in Vietnam and China on improvements in QCD performances by firms in Hanoi. On the other hand, exchange of engineers with customers in Japan and suppliers in China significantly affects improvements in production and quality control of new products by firms in Calabarzon.

The different effects on innovations in processes and products of exchanging engineers between the two industrial districts may reflect difference in the development stages. Economic integration and infrastructure development enables firms in Southeast Asia to combine various sources of technologies and knowledge at a lower cost in a more efficient manner. \mathfrak{M}

References

- Ariff, M. (Ed.) (2008), "Analyses of Industrial Agglomeration, Production Networks and FDI Promotion", ERIA Research Project Report 2007, No. 3, Chiba: IDE/JETRO.
- Cohen, W. M., and D. A. Levinthal (1990), "Absorptive Capacity: A New Perspective of Learning and Innovation", *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 35(1), pp. 128-152.
- Foster, L., J. Haltiwanger, and C. J. Krizan (2001), "Aggregate Productivity Growth: Lessons from Microeconomic Evidence", in Dean, E., M. Harper, and C. Hulten (Eds.), *New Directions in Productivity Analysis*, University of Chicago Press.
- Hamilton, B., J. Nickerson, and H. Owan (2003), "Team Incentives and Worker Heterogeneity: An Empirical Analysis of the Impact of Teams on Productivity and Participation", *Journal of Political Economy*, 111(3), pp. 465-497.

- Ichiniowski, C., K. Shaw, and G. Prennushi (1997), "The Effects of Human Resource Management Practices on Productivity: A Study of Steel Finishing Lines", *American Economic Review*, 87(3), pp. 291-313.
- Intarakumnerd, P. (Ed.) (2010), "Fostering Production and Science & Technology Linkages to Stimulate Innovation in ASEAN, *ERIA Research Project Report 2009*, No. 7-4, Jakarta: Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia (ERIA).
- Intarakumnerd, P., and M. Fujita (2008), "Coping with a Giant: Challenges and Opportunities for Thai and Vietnamese Motorcycle Industry from China", *Science, Technology & Society*, 13(1), pp. 35-60.
- Kagami, M. (Ed.) (2010), "Economic Relations of China, Japan and Korea with the Mekong River Basin Countries (MRBCs), BRC Research Report, No. 3, Bangkok: Bangkok Research Center (IDE/JETRO).
- Kimura, F. (2009), "The Spatial Structure of Production/Distribution Networks and its Implication for Technology Transfers and Spillovers", *ERIA Discussion Paper*, No. 2009-02, Jakarta: ERIA.
- Kuchiki, A., and M. Tsuji (Eds.) (2008), *The Flowchart Approach to Industrial Cluster Policy*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Lentz, R., and D. T. Mortensen (2008), "An Empirical Model of Growth Through Product Innovation", *Econometrica*, 76(6), pp. 1317-1373.
- Limskul, K. (Ed.) (2009), "Development of Regional Production and Logistics Networks in East Asia", ERIA Research Project Report 2008, No. 4-1, Jakarta: ERIA.
- Machikita, T., and Y. Ueki (2010a), "Spatial Architecture of the Production Networks in Southeast Asia", *ERIA Discussion Paper*, No. 2010-01, Jakarta: ERIA.
- —— (2010b), "Search-theoretic Approach to Securing New Suppliers: Impacts of Geographic Proximity for Importer and Non-importer", *ERIA Discussion Paper*, No. 2010-02, Jakarta: ERIA.
- —— (2010c), "Innovation in Linked and Non-linked Firms: Effects of Variety of Linkages in East Asia", *ERIA Discussion Paper*, No. 2010-03, Jakarta: ERIA.
- —— (2010d), "The Impacts of Face-to-face and Frequent Interactions on Innovation: Upstream-Downstream Relations", *ERIA Discussion Paper*, No. 2010-04, Jakarta: ERIA.
- Machikita, T., B. Truong, and Y. Ueki (2010), "Technology Transfer to Vietnam through Engineer Exchanges under 'China plus One' Strategy: Firm-level

Evidence", Proceedings of the 3rd Vietnamese Economist Annual Meeting (VEAM 2010).

- Mas, A., and E. Moretti (2009), "Peers at Work", *American Economic Review*, 99(1), pp. 112-145.
- Polanyi, M. (1966), "The Logic of Tacit Inference", *Philosophy, The Journal of the Royal Institute of Philosophy*, 41(155), pp. 1-18.
- —— (1967), *The Tacit Dimension*, University of Chicago Press.
- Saxenian, A. (1996), Regional Advantage: Culture and Competition in Silicon Valley and Route 128, Harvard.
- —— (2006), The New Argonauts: Regional Advantage in a Global Economy, Harvard.
- Truong, B. (2008), "Factors of Agglomeration in Vietnam and Recommendations", in Ariff (Ed.) (2008), pp. 155-189.
- —— (2010), "The Process of Improving Technology and the Perspective of Domestic Suppliers in the Motorbike Industry in Hanoi", in Intarakumnerd (Ed.), pp. 271-305.
- Ueki, Y. (2010), "Complementary Manufacturing Relationships between Firms in China and CLMV", in Kagami (Ed.), pp. 328-363.

Appendix

Table A1 List of Variables

TT · 11	
Variable	Definition
Dependent Va	nriables
STAN	Coded 1 if a firm adopted an international standard between 2006 and 2008
Q	Coded 1 if a firm improved quality of products substantially between 2007 and 2008
PD	Coded 1 if a firm reduced product defects substantially between 2007 and 2008
С	Coded 1 if a firm decreased production cost substantially between 2007 and 2008
D	Coded 1 if a firm reduced lead-time (the period between a customer's order and delivery of product) between 2007 and 2008
QC	Coded 1 if Q=1 and C=1
QCD	Coded 1 if Q=1 and C=1 and D=1

Variable	Definition
Dependent Var	iables
PROD	Coded 1 if a firm introduced new products or services to the market between 2006 and 2008.
MARKET	Coded 1 if a firm introduced new products or services to a market new to the firm between 2006 and 2008.
TECH	Coded 1 if a firm introduced new products or services based on technologies new to the firm between 2006 and 2008.
Q_PROD	Coded 1 if Q=1 and PROD=1.
PD_PROD	Coded 1 if PD=1 and PROD=1.
Q_MARKET	Coded 1 if Q=1 and MARKET=1.
PD_MARKET	Coded 1 if PD=1 and MARKET=1.
Q_TECH	Coded 1 if Q=1 and TECH=1.
PD_TECH	Coded 1 if PD=1 and TECH=1.
Independent V	Variables
<exchange of<="" td=""><td>Engineer with Main Customer and Supplier</td></exchange>	Engineer with Main Customer and Supplier
EX_LOCAL_c	Coded 1 if a firm exchanges engineers with its main customer in Vietnam/ Philippines
EX _JP_c	Coded 1 if a firm exchanges engineers with its main customer in Japan
EX _LOCAL_s	Coded 1 if a firm exchanges engineers with its main supplier in Vietnam/ Philippines
EX _JP_s	Coded 1 if a firm exchanges engineers with its main supplier in Japan
EX _CN_s	Coded 1 if a firm exchanges engineers with its main supplier in China
<other contro<="" td=""><td>ol Variables></td></other>	ol Variables>
R&D	Coded 1 if a firm carries out research and development (R&D).
ASSET	Size of asset in U.S. dollars
LOCAL	Coded 1 if a firm is a local firm (100% local capital)
LIGHT	Coded 1 if the main business activity of a firm is food/beverages/tobacco, or textiles/apparel/leather
CHEMICAL	Coded 1 if the main business activity of a firm is chemicals/chemical and plastic products/rubber
METAL	Coded 1 if the main business activity of a firm is iron/steel, or metal products
ELECTRONICS	Coded 1 if the main business activity of a firm is electronics/electronic components other than computer/computer parts
MACHINE	Coded 1 if the main business activity of a firm is machinery/equipment/ tools, computers/computer parts/precision instruments, automobile/ auto parts, or other transportation equipments and parts

Tomohiro Machikita, Truong Thi Chi Binh y Yasushi Ueki

Source: authors.

Table A2

Effects of Exchanging Engineer with Main Customer and Supplier on Process Innovations by Firms in Calabarzon

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
<ex> EX_LOCAL_c 0.237 -0.246 0.140 0.479 0.159 0.417 0.347 (0.348) (0.344) (0.335) (0.334) (0.314) (0.328) (0.331) EX_JP_c -0.520 0.070 0.605 0.588 0.351 0.460 0.245 (0.761) (0.529) (0.495) (0.478) (0.492) (0.466) (0.461) EX_LOCAL_s -0.551 0.255 0.248 -0.487 0.920** -0.377 -0.499 (0.484) (0.498) (0.480) (0.464) (0.441) (0.464) (0.491) EX_JP_s 0.054 -0.902* -0.473 -0.102 0.063 -0.138 0.155 (0.764) (0.540) (0.492) (0.492) (0.481) (0.466) EX_CN_s -0.259 -0.425 -0.662 -0.427 -0.587 -0.311 (0.697) (0.575) (0.594) (0.200) (0.000) 0.000 0.000 0.000</ex>				PD				
L (0.348) (0.334) (0.335) (0.334) (0.314) (0.328) (0.314) EX_JP_c -0.520 0.070 0.605 0.588 0.351 0.460 0.245 (0.761) (0.529) (0.495) (0.478) (0.492) (0.466) (0.41) EX_LOCAL_s -0.551 0.255 0.248 -0.487 0.920** -0.377 -0.499 (0.484) (0.498) (0.480) (0.442) (0.464) (0.491) EX_JP_s 0.054 -0.902* -0.473 -0.102 0.663 -0.138 0.155 (0.764) (0.540) (0.492) (0.495) (0.492) (0.491) 0.466) 0.419 EX_CN_s -0.259 -0.425 -0.662 -0.427 -0.587 -0.311 (0.697) (0.575) (0.594) (0.587) (0.610) 0.000 0.000 LOCAL -0.773*** -0.617** -0.070 0.91 0.46 -0.434 (0.263) (0.270)	<ex></ex>							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	EX_LOCAL_c	0.237	-0.246	0.140	0.479	0.159	0.417	0.347
(0.761) (0.529) (0.495) (0.478) (0.492) (0.466) (0.461) EX_LOCAL_s -0.551 0.255 0.248 -0.487 0.920** -0.377 -0.499 (0.484) (0.498) (0.480) (0.464) (0.442) (0.464) (0.491) EX_JP_s 0.054 -0.902* -0.473 -0.102 0.063 -0.138 0.155 (0.764) (0.540) (0.492) (0.495) (0.492) (0.492) (0.491) EX_CN_s -0.259 -0.425 -0.662 -0.427 -0.587 -0.311 (0.670) (0.575) (0.594) (0.587) (0.615) (0.620) <control td="" variabes<=""> - -0.029 -0.425 -0.602 -0.427 -0.587 -0.311 Asset 0.000 (0.000) (0.000) (0.594) (0.587) (0.615) (0.620) Locat -0.773*** -0.617** -0.070 0.901 0.046 -0.044 -0.145 (0.263)</control>		(0.348)	(0.344)	(0.335)	(0.334)	(0.314)	(0.328)	(0.331)
EX_LOCAL_s-0.5510.2550.248-0.4870.920**-0.377-0.499(0.484)(0.494)(0.490)(0.460)(0.442)(0.464)(0.491)EX_JP_s0.054-0.902*-0.473-0.1020.063-0.1380.155(0.764)(0.540)(0.492)(0.495)(0.492)(0.492)(0.491)EX_CN_s-0.259-0.425-0.662-0.427-0.587-0.311(0.697)(0.575)(0.594)(0.587)(0.615)(0.620) <control td="" varialty<="">-0.000(0.000)(0.000)(0.000)0.0000.000<control td="" varialty<="">-0.617**-0.6700.0910.046-0.044-0.145(0.263)(0.270)(0.243)(0.258)(0.240)(0.259)(0.278)LIGHT-0.510-0.434-0.0330.401-0.1980.3170.401(0.355)(0.372)(0.355)(0.393)(0.339)(0.392)(0.462)CHEMICAL0.7130.2190.0240.2890.2510.3040.733(0.455)(0.455)(0.472)(0.423)(0.472)(0.524)METAL0.061-0.255-0.2060.4840.2610.3390.823*(0.465)(0.457)(0.415)(0.426)(0.407)(0.431)(0.482)ELECTRONICS1.354*-0.3600.2300.4590.4970.4940.783MACHINE1.018**0.5910.3981.311**0.385<</control></control>	EX _JP_c	-0.520	0.070	0.605	0.588	0.351	0.460	0.245
(0.484) (0.480) (0.464) (0.424) (0.464) (0.491) EX_JP_s 0.054 -0.902* -0.473 -0.102 0.063 -0.138 0.155 (0.764) (0.540) (0.492) (0.495) (0.492) (0.491) (0.466) EX_CN_s -0.259 -0.425 -0.662 -0.427 -0.587 -0.311 (0.697) (0.575) (0.594) (0.587) (0.615) (0.620) 0.000*** 0.000 0.000 -0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000*** 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000*** 0.017 0.011 0.046 -0.041 -0.145 0.000 (0.000) (0.000) (0.000) (0.000) 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0		(0.761)	(0.529)	(0.495)	(0.478)	(0.492)	(0.466)	(0.461)
EX_JP_s 0.054 -0.902* -0.473 -0.102 0.063 -0.138 0.155 (0.764) (0.540) (0.492) (0.495) (0.492) (0.492) (0.492) (0.491) (0.481) (0.466) EX_CN_s -0.259 -0.425 -0.662 -0.427 -0.587 -0.311 (0.697) (0.575) (0.594) (0.587) (0.615) (0.620) 0.000*** 0.000 0.000 0.000 -0.000 (0.615) (0.620) 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 (0.587) (0.615) (0.620) 0.000*** 0.000 (0.000) (0.000) (0.587) (0.615) (0.620) 0.000 (0.000) (0.000) (0.000) (0.000) (0.000) (0.000) (0.000) (0.000) (0.000) LOCAL -0.773*** -0.617** -0.070 0.091 0.046 -0.024 0.243 (0.240)	EX_LOCAL_s	-0.551	0.255	0.248	-0.487	0.920**	-0.377	-0.499
(0.764) (0.540) (0.492) (0.495) (0.492) (0.481) (0.466) EX_CN_s -0.259 -0.425 -0.662 -0.427 -0.587 -0.311 (0.697) (0.575) (0.594) (0.587) (0.615) (0.620) <control variables=""> -0.000 0.000 0.000 -0.000 0.000 0.000 (0.000) (0.000) (0.000) (0.000) (0.000) (0.000) (0.000) 0.000 LOCAL -0.773*** -0.617** -0.070 0.091 0.046 -0.042 -0.145 (0.263) (0.270) (0.243) (0.258) (0.240) (0.259) (0.278) LIGHT -0.510 -0.434 -0.033 0.401 -0.198 0.317 0.401 (0.355) (0.372) (0.355) (0.393) (0.339) (0.392) (0.462) CHEMICAL 0.713 0.219 0.024 0.289 0.251 0.304 0.733 (0.452) (0.515) (0.455) (0.472) (0.423) (0.472) (0.524) <t< td=""><td></td><td>(0.484)</td><td>(0.498)</td><td>(0.480)</td><td>(0.464)</td><td>(0.442)</td><td>(0.464)</td><td>(0.491)</td></t<></control>		(0.484)	(0.498)	(0.480)	(0.464)	(0.442)	(0.464)	(0.491)
EX_CN_s -0.259 -0.425 -0.662 -0.427 -0.587 -0.311 (0.697) (0.575) (0.594) (0.587) (0.615) (0.620) <control variables=""> -0.000 0.000 0.000 -0.000 0.000 0.000 ASSET 0.000*** 0.000 (0.000) (0.000) (0.000) (0.000) (0.000) LOCAL -0.773*** -0.617** -0.070 0.091 0.046 -0.042 -0.145 (0.263) (0.270) (0.243) (0.258) (0.240) (0.259) (0.278) LIGHT -0.510 -0.434 -0.033 0.401 -0.198 0.317 0.401 (0.355) (0.372) (0.355) (0.393) (0.339) (0.392) (0.462) CHEMICAL 0.713 0.219 0.024 0.289 0.251 0.304 0.733 (0.452) (0.515) (0.455) (0.472) (0.423) (0.472) (0.524) METAL 0.061 -0.255 -0.206 0.484 0.261 0.339 0.823*</control>	EX _JP_s	0.054	-0.902*	-0.473	-0.102	0.063	-0.138	0.155
(0.697) (0.575) (0.594) (0.587) (0.615) (0.620) <control variables=""> <td< td=""><td></td><td>(0.764)</td><td>(0.540)</td><td>(0.492)</td><td>(0.495)</td><td>(0.492)</td><td>(0.481)</td><td>(0.466)</td></td<></control>		(0.764)	(0.540)	(0.492)	(0.495)	(0.492)	(0.481)	(0.466)
<control variables=""> 0.000*** 0.000</control>	EX_CN_s		-0.259	-0.425	-0.662	-0.427	-0.587	-0.311
ASSET 0.000*** 0.000 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.0125 0.012 0.021 0.0251 0.0461 0.420 0.339 0.339 0.339 0.339 0.339 0.339 0.339 0.342 0.421 0.421 0.423 0.421 0.423 0.421 0.524 0.431			(0.697)	(0.575)	(0.594)	(0.587)	(0.615)	(0.620)
(0.000) (0.000) <t< td=""><td><control td="" varia<=""><td>bles></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></control></td></t<>	<control td="" varia<=""><td>bles></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></control>	bles>						
LOCAL -0.773*** -0.617** -0.070 0.091 0.046 -0.04 -0.145 (0.263) (0.270) (0.243) (0.258) (0.240) (0.259) (0.278) LIGHT -0.510 -0.434 -0.033 0.401 -0.198 0.317 0.401 (0.355) (0.372) (0.355) (0.393) (0.399) (0.392) (0.462) CHEMICAL 0.713 0.219 0.024 0.289 0.251 0.304 0.733 (0.452) (0.515) (0.455) (0.472) (0.423) (0.472) (0.524) METAL 0.061 -0.255 -0.206 0.484 0.261 0.339 0.823* (0.465) (0.457) (0.415) (0.426) (0.407) (0.431) (0.482) ELECTRONICS 1.354** -0.360 0.230 0.459 0.497 0.449 0.789 (0.569) (0.482) (0.461) (0.438) (0.403) (0.433) (0.488) MACHINE <td>ASSET</td> <td>0.000***</td> <td>0.000</td> <td>0.000</td> <td>0.000</td> <td>-0.000</td> <td>0.000</td> <td>0.000</td>	ASSET	0.000***	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.000	0.000	0.000
(0.263) (0.270) (0.243) (0.258) (0.240) (0.259) (0.278) LIGHT -0.510 -0.434 -0.033 0.401 -0.198 0.317 0.401 (0.355) (0.372) (0.355) (0.393) (0.399) (0.392) (0.462) CHEMICAL 0.713 0.219 0.024 0.289 0.251 0.304 0.733 (0.452) (0.515) (0.455) (0.472) (0.423) (0.472) (0.524) METAL 0.061 -0.255 -0.206 0.484 0.261 0.339 0.823* (0.465) (0.457) (0.415) (0.426) (0.407) (0.431) (0.482) ELECTRONICS 1.354** -0.360 0.230 0.459 0.497 0.449 0.789 (0.569) (0.482) (0.461) (0.438) (0.403) (0.433) (0.488) MACHINE 1.018** 0.591 0.398 1.311*** 0.385 1.156** 1.373*** (0.483)		(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
LIGHT -0.510 -0.434 -0.033 0.401 -0.198 0.317 0.401 (0.355) (0.372) (0.355) (0.393) (0.339) (0.392) (0.462) CHEMICAL 0.713 0.219 0.024 0.289 0.251 0.304 0.733 (0.452) (0.515) (0.455) (0.472) (0.423) (0.472) (0.524) METAL 0.061 -0.255 -0.206 0.484 0.261 0.339 0.823* (0.465) (0.457) (0.415) (0.426) (0.407) (0.431) (0.482) ELECTRONICS 1.354** -0.360 0.230 0.459 0.497 0.449 0.789 (0.569) (0.482) (0.461) (0.438) (0.403) (0.433) (0.488) MACHINE 1.018** 0.591 0.398 1.311*** 0.385 1.156** 1.373*** (0.483) (0.606) (0.531) (0.498) (0.460) (0.490) (0.529) Constan	LOCAL	-0.773***	-0.617**	-0.070	0.091	0.046	-0.004	-0.145
(0.355) (0.372) (0.355) (0.393) (0.392) (0.462) CHEMICAL 0.713 0.219 0.024 0.289 0.251 0.304 0.733 (0.452) (0.515) (0.455) (0.472) (0.423) (0.472) (0.472) METAL 0.061 -0.255 -0.206 0.484 0.261 0.339 (0.432) ELECTRONICS 1.354** -0.360 0.230 0.459 0.497 (0.431) (0.482) MACHINE 1.018** -0.360 0.230 0.459 0.497 0.433 (0.483) MACHINE 1.018** 0.591 0.398 1.311*** 0.385 1.156** 1.373*** (0.483) (0.606) (0.531) (0.498) (0.460) (0.490) (0.529) Constant -0.192 1.575*** 0.549 -1.201*** -0.047 -1.118** -1.385**		(0.263)	(0.270)	(0.243)	(0.258)	(0.240)	(0.259)	(0.278)
CHEMICAL 0.713 0.219 0.024 0.289 0.251 0.304 0.733 (0.452) (0.515) (0.455) (0.472) (0.423) (0.472) (0.524) METAL 0.061 -0.255 -0.206 0.484 0.261 0.339 0.823* (0.465) (0.457) (0.415) (0.426) (0.407) (0.431) (0.482) ELECTRONICS 1.354** -0.360 0.230 0.459 0.497 0.449 0.789 (0.569) (0.482) (0.461) (0.438) (0.403) (0.433) (0.488) MACHINE 1.018** 0.591 0.398 1.311*** 0.385 1.156** 1.373*** (0.483) (0.606) (0.531) (0.498) (0.460) (0.490) (0.529) Constant -0.192 1.575*** 0.549 -1.201*** -0.047 -1.118*** -1.385***	LIGHT	-0.510	-0.434	-0.033	0.401	-0.198	0.317	0.401
(0.452) (0.515) (0.455) (0.472) (0.423) (0.472) (0.524) METAL 0.061 -0.255 -0.206 0.484 0.261 0.339 0.823* (0.465) (0.457) (0.415) (0.426) (0.407) (0.431) (0.482) ELECTRONICS 1.354** -0.360 0.230 0.459 0.497 0.449 0.789 (0.569) (0.482) (0.461) (0.438) (0.403) (0.433) (0.488) MACHINE 1.018** 0.591 0.398 1.311*** 0.385 1.156** 1.373*** (0.483) (0.606) (0.531) (0.498) (0.460) (0.490) (0.529) Constant -0.192 1.575*** 0.549 -1.201*** -0.047 -1.118*** -1.385***		(0.355)	(0.372)	(0.355)	(0.393)	(0.339)	(0.392)	(0.462)
METAL 0.061 -0.255 -0.206 0.484 0.261 0.339 0.823* (0.465) (0.457) (0.415) (0.426) (0.407) (0.431) (0.482) ELECTRONICS 1.354** -0.360 0.230 0.459 0.497 0.449 0.789 (0.569) (0.482) (0.461) (0.438) (0.403) (0.433) (0.488) MACHINE 1.018** 0.591 0.398 1.311*** 0.385 1.156** 1.373*** (0.483) (0.606) (0.531) (0.498) (0.460) (0.490) (0.529) Constant -0.192 1.575*** 0.549 -1.201*** -0.047 -1.118*** -1.385***	CHEMICAL	0.713	0.219	0.024	0.289	0.251	0.304	0.733
(0.465) (0.457) (0.415) (0.426) (0.407) (0.431) (0.482) ELECTRONICS 1.354** -0.360 0.230 0.459 0.497 0.449 0.789 (0.569) (0.482) (0.461) (0.438) (0.403) (0.433) (0.488) MACHINE 1.018** 0.591 0.398 1.311*** 0.385 1.156** 1.373*** (0.483) (0.606) (0.531) (0.498) (0.460) (0.490) (0.529) Constant -0.192 1.575*** 0.549 -1.201*** -0.047 -1.118*** -1.385***		(0.452)	(0.515)	(0.455)	(0.472)	(0.423)	(0.472)	(0.524)
ELECTRONICS 1.354** -0.360 0.230 0.459 0.497 0.449 0.789 (0.569) (0.482) (0.461) (0.438) (0.403) (0.433) (0.488) MACHINE 1.018** 0.591 0.398 1.311*** 0.385 1.156** 1.373*** (0.483) (0.606) (0.531) (0.498) (0.460) (0.490) (0.529) Constant -0.192 1.575*** 0.549 -1.201*** -0.047 -1.118*** -1.385***	METAL	0.061	-0.255	-0.206	0.484	0.261	0.339	0.823*
MACHINE (0.569) (0.482) (0.461) (0.438) (0.403) (0.433) (0.488) MACHINE 1.018** 0.591 0.398 1.311*** 0.385 1.156** 1.373*** (0.483) (0.606) (0.531) (0.498) (0.460) (0.490) (0.529) Constant -0.192 1.575*** 0.549 -1.201*** -0.047 -1.118*** -1.385***		(0.465)	(0.457)	(0.415)	(0.426)	(0.407)	(0.431)	(0.482)
MACHINE 1.018** 0.591 0.398 1.311*** 0.385 1.156** 1.373*** (0.483) (0.606) (0.531) (0.498) (0.460) (0.490) (0.529) Constant -0.192 1.575*** 0.549 -1.201*** -0.047 -1.118*** -1.385***	ELECTRONICS	1.354**	-0.360	0.230	0.459	0.497	0.449	0.789
(0.483)(0.606)(0.531)(0.498)(0.460)(0.490)(0.529)Constant-0.1921.575***0.549-1.201***-0.047-1.118***-1.385***		(0.569)	(0.482)	(0.461)	(0.438)	(0.403)	(0.433)	(0.488)
Constant -0.192 1.575*** 0.549 -1.201*** -0.047 -1.118*** -1.385***	MACHINE	1.018**	0.591	0.398	1.311***	0.385	1.156**	1.373***
		(0.483)	(0.606)	(0.531)	(0.498)	(0.460)	(0.490)	(0.529)
(0.351) (0.381) (0.361) (0.369) (0.328) (0.367) (0.420)	Constant	-0.192	1.575***	0.549	-1.201***	-0.047	-1.118***	-1.385***
		(0.351)	(0.381)	(0.361)	(0.369)	(0.328)	(0.367)	(0.420)
Observations 151 157 157 157 157 157 157	Observations	151	157	157	157	157	157	157
Pseudo R2 0.353 0.0840 0.0429 0.101 0.0700 0.0819 0.114	Pseudo R2	0.353	0.0840	0.0429	0.101	0.0700	0.0819	0.114

Note: *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1. Robust standard errors are in parentheses. Note: Variable EX_CN_s is dropped when it predicts dependent variable perfectly. Source: authors.

LA MODERNIDAD DE HINDEL

SOCIALISMO Y DESAFÍOS DE LA SOCIEDAD DE MERCADO

> VÍCTOR LÓPEZ VILLAFAÑE

> > **X**